Pursuant to Ind. Appellate Rule 65(D), this Memorandum Decision shall not be regarded as precedent or cited before any court except for the purpose of establishing the defense of res judicata, collateral estoppel, or the law of the case.

ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT:

WILLIAM F. THOMS, JR.

Thoms & Thoms Indianapolis, Indiana

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE:

STEVE CARTER

Attorney General of Indiana

GARY DAMON SECREST

Deputy Attorney General

ARTURO RODRIGUEZ

Law Clerk

Indianapolis, Indiana

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF INDIANA

SHANNON PARKER,)
Appellant-Defendant,)
VS.) No. 49A05-0611-CR-632
STATE OF INDIANA,))
Appellee-Plaintiff.	,)

APPEAL FROM THE MARION SUPERIOR COURT The Honorable Danielle Gaughan, Master Commissioner Cause No. 49G17-0601-CM-4189

June 20, 2007

MEMORANDUM DECISION - NOT FOR PUBLICATION

KIRSCH, Judge

Shannon Parker appeals contending there was insufficient evidence to support his conviction for battery¹ and domestic battery,² each as a Class A misdemeanor. We affirm.

FACTS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY

During an argument, Parker punched the mother of his child, Latrina Stewart, in the face cracking her tooth. The sheriff's deputy investigating the incident observed the cracked tooth and abrasions on Stewart's lip. Both Stewart and the deputy testified to such facts at trial. After a bench trial, the trial court found Parker guilty of battery and domestic battery.

DISCUSSION AND DECISION

In reviewing sufficiency of the evidence, we neither reweigh the evidence nor judge the credibility of the witnesses. *Cox v. State*, 774 N.E.2d 1025, 1028 (Ind. Ct. App. 2002). We consider only evidence favorable to the judgment along with reasonable inferences drawn therefrom. *Id.* We will affirm a conviction if evidence and inferences establish that a trier of fact could reasonably conclude that the defendant was guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. *Id.*

Parker argues that the testimony of the only eyewitness -- Stewart -- was full of contradictions. Parker invites us to judge her credibility, which we cannot do. Further, the reporting officer corroborated Stewart's testimony of her injury. Evidence was sufficient to support the trial court's finding of guilt.

Affirmed.

DARDEN, J., and MATHIAS, J., concur.

¹ See IC 35-42-2-1.

² See IC 35-42-2-1.3.